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PURPOSE: To investigate the antibiotic susceptibility and clinical outcomes of endophthalmitis caused by methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) versus methicillin-resistant (MRSA) S. aureus.

DESIGN: Retrospective, consecutive case series.

METHODS: Charts of 32 patients with culture-proven S. aureus endophthalmitis seen at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute from January 1, 1995, through January 1, 2008, were reviewed. Antibiotic susceptibility profiles, identified using standard microbiologic protocols, and visual acuity at 1 and 3 months were the main outcome measures.

RESULTS: MSSA was recovered from 19 (59%) of 32 patients and MRSA was recovered from 13 (41%) of 32 patients. Causes included cataract surgery in 18 (56%) of 32 patients, endogenous in 5 (16%) of 32 patients, bleb association in 4 (13%) of 32 patients, pars plana vitrectomy and ganciclovir implantation in 3 (9%) of 32 patients, and trauma in 2 (6%) of 32 patients. All isolates were sensitive to vancomycin. MSSA isolates were sensitive to all tested antibiotics, except one that exhibited fluoroquinolone resistance. In the MRSA group, frequent resistance occurred with the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones (moxifloxacin, 5 of 13 patients [38%]; gatifloxacin, 5 of 13 patients [38%]). The median presenting visual acuity was approximately hand movements for both MSSA and MRSA eyes. All eyes received intravitreal antibiotics. Pars plana vitrectomy was performed on 47% of MSSA and 61% of MRSA patients. A final visual acuity of 20/400 or better at 3 months was achieved in 59% of MSSA and 36% of MRSA patients (P = .5).

CONCLUSIONS: Although all MSSA and MRSA isolates were sensitive to vancomycin, fewer than half of MRSA isolates were sensitive to the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones. Visual acuity outcomes between MRSA and MSSA eyes were not significantly different. (Am J Ophthalmol 2010;149:278–283. © 2010 by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.)

METHODS

A COMPUTER SEARCH OF THE BASCOM PALMER EYE INSTITUTE MICROBIOLOGY DEPARTMENT DATA BASE AND CORRESPONDING MEDICAL RECORDS IDENTIFIED 32 CASES OF PATIENTS WITH...

Treatments consisted of either tap and inject, that is, aspiration of a vitreous specimen with subsequent injection of antibiotics (vancomycin 1.0 mg and ceftazidime 2.25 mg) or pars plana vitrectomy with subsequent injection of the same antibiotics. Treatment was at the discretion of the treating physician, which generally followed Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study (EVS) guidelines for cataract-associated cases, but there was no specific protocol in the current study.

Intraocular specimens had been obtained from all patients, either through needle aspiration from the vitreous during the tap-and-inject procedure or through pars plana vitrectomy. Vitreous samples were plated on thioglycolate, blood, chocolate, anaerobic blood, and Sabouraud agar and were incubated at 37 C. All isolates were incubated for 18 to 24 hours in a carbon dioxide incubator. Cultures were observed daily for up to 7 days for visible growth. Vitek automated microbial identification and susceptibility testing system (bioMérieux, Inc, Durham, North Carolina, USA) and disc diffusion were used to determine and compare susceptibility patterns. Interpretations of culture results were in accordance with guidelines from the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA). Coagulase testing was used to identify isolates as S. aureus.

To establish S. aureus as the causative organism, growth of the organism had to be present on 2 or more culture media or semiconfluent growth on 1 or more solid media and had to demonstrate positive smear results. Cases with polymicrobial growth were excluded from the analysis.

Clinical data were compiled using a standard data collection sheet. Data collected included cause, gender, age, and time from inciting event to initial presentation where determinable. Visual acuity (VA) was recorded at presentation, as well as 1 week, 1 month, and 3 months after treatment. For statistical analysis, Snellen VA was converted into logMAR units. VA outcomes were compared between MRSA and MSSA from all causes and in the postcataract-only subgroups.

**TABLE 1. Endophthalmitis Caused by Staphylococcus aureus in Various Categories of the Current Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>MSSA</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleb associated</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars plana vitrectomy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganciclovir</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRSA = methicillin-resistant S. aureus; MSSA = methicillin-sensitive S. aureus.

Statistical analysis was performed using Graph Pad Prism software (Graph Pad Software, Inc, La Jolla, California, USA). t Tests were used for analyzing variables with Gaussian distribution. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for nonparametric data.

**RESULTS**

THIRTY-TWO CASES OF ENDOPHTHALMITIS RESULTING from S. aureus were identified, including 13 (41%) caused by MRSA and 19 (59%) caused by MSSA. Men and women were distributed equally in both the MRSA and the MSSA group (7 men and 6 women in the MRSA group, 9 men and 10 women in the MSSA group). Also, there was no difference in age between the 2 groups, with
A mean age of 66 years in the MRSA group and 67 years in the MSSA group.

Overall, most endophthalmitis cases caused by S. aureus (18 of 32 cases or 56%) were associated with cataract surgery (Table 1). Of these, 10 (56%) of 18 were caused by MSSA, and 8 (44%) of 18 were caused by MRSA. Five cases were endogenous (5 of 32 cases or 16%), including MRSA in 2 cases and MSSA in 3 cases. Four cases were bleb associated (12%), which were exclusively MSSA. Another 2 cases resulted from trauma (1 MSSA, 1 MRSA), 2 occurred after vitrectomy (1 MSSA, 1 MRSA), and 1 occurred after vitrectomy combined with ganciclovir implant placement (MRSA).

Of the 19 isolates in the MSSA group, 11 (68%) were resistant to penicillin, but all were sensitive to vancomycin, gentamicin, trimethoprim-sulfa, and clindamycin (Figure 1). One MSSA cataract surgery-related endophthalmitis patient exhibited resistance to the fluoroquinolones (oxifloxacin, gatifloxacin, and moxifloxacin). All other MSSA isolates were sensitive to the fluoroquinolones, accounting for the 95% sensitivity (Figure 2). All MRSA isolates were resistant to penicillin. Among MRSA isolates, only 7 (54%) of 13 were susceptible to gentamicin, and 8 (61%) of 13 were susceptible to clindamycin. Among all MRSA isolates, sensitivity to the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones was 38% (5/13) for gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin. MSSA isolates were 100% sensitive to trimethoprim-sulfa, whereas MRSA isolates also were sensitive at 92% (12/13). Both MRSA and MSSA isolates were still sensitive to vancomycin.

Treatment in the MSSA group consisted of initial tap and inject in 10 cases (53%) and pars plana vitrectomy followed by the same intravitreal antibiotics in the other 9 cases (47%). In contrast, in the MRSA group, only 5 patients received tap-and-inject treatment (39%), and most (8 of 13 or 61%) were treated with vitrectomy and intraocular antibiotics. Mean time to diagnosis was essentially identical in the 2 post–cataract surgery groups, the setting in which the inciting event was easiest to determine (6.1 days in the MSSA group and 5.7 days in the MRSA group).

Overall, visual acuity at presentation ranged from 20/200 to no light perception (NLP) in both the MRSA and MSSA groups. These measurements were not statistically different when comparing the MRSA and MSSA groups overall (mean logMAR visual acuity of 1.8 and 1.6, respectively; P = .87, Mann–Whitney U test; Figure 2). One week after presentation, VA ranged from 20/25 to NLP in the MSSA group and from 20/100 to NLP in the MRSA group. The mean VA of the MRSA and MSSA groups were identical at this point. One MRSA patient underwent enucleation 1 week after diagnosis secondary to pain after corneal perforation and a limited VA potential because of anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. One month after initial presentation, VA ranged between 20/40 and light perception for the MRSA group (n = 9 of initial 13) and 20/20 to NLP in the MSSA group (n = 18 of initial 19). Again, there was no statistically significant difference between the MRSA and MSSA groups from all causes (mean logMAR visual acuity, 1.7 and 1.2, respectively; P = .11, unpaired t test; Figure 2).

After 3 months, VA ranged between 20/70 and light perception in the MRSA group (n = 6 of initially 13) and 20/60 to NLP in the MSSA group (n = 9 of initially 19; mean logMAR visual acuity, 1.8 and 0.5, respectively; P = .065, unpaired t test). A small difference between the mean logMAR visual acuities in the post–cataract-only MRSA group (n = 6 of initially 8) and MSSA group (n = 5 of initially 10) was found (Figure 2). Although the mean visual acuity in the MRSA group was between hand movements and 20/400 (logMAR, 1.8), visual acuity in MSSA-infected eyes was 20/60 (logMAR, 0.5). This difference approached statistical significance (P = .065, unpaired t test). A final VA of 20/400 or better at 3 months was achieved in 59% of MSSA and 36% of MRSA patients (P = .5). See Table 2 for a summary of visual

### Table 2. Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Methicillin-Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus General Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>logMAR VA2</th>
<th>logMAR VA3</th>
<th>Resistance to 4F (%)</th>
<th>Primary Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSSA</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Postoperative ECCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Postoperative ECCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4F = fourth-generation fluoroquinolones; ECCE = extracapsular cataract extraction; logMAR = logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution; MRSA = methicillin-resistant S. aureus; MSSA = methicillin-sensitive S. aureus; VA = visual acuity at 3 months; VAp = visual acuity at presentation.

### Table 3. Endophthalmitis Caused by Staphylococcus aureus: Selected Studies and Clinical Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Dates</th>
<th>1984 through 19922,14</th>
<th>EVS 199527</th>
<th>1995 through 2008 (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% MRSA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Treated by PPV</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>~ 60c</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% after cataract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surgery</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>100b</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Final VA = 20/400</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>50 to 63.3c</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVS = Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study; MRSA = methicillin-resistant S. aureus; PPV = pars plana vitrectomy; VA = visual acuity.

aReported as “other gram-positive growth only.” Includes Streptococcus species. (Approximation from Table 1, ref. 14).

bAfter cataract or secondary intraocular lens.

cData taken from EVS Table 5. Fifty percent had ≥ 20/100, whereas 63.3% had ≥ 5/200.
DISCUSSION

THE CURRENT STUDY OF 32 PATIENTS REPRESENTS THE largest reported clinical case series of *S. aureus* endophthalmitis (Table 3). In the EVS reports of acute-onset endophthalmitis associated with cataract or secondary intraocular lens implantation, *S. aureus* was the second most common organism after coagulase-negative staphylococci. In the 30 EVS patients with *S. aureus*, less than 20/100 vision was found in half of the patients. Over the current study period from 1995 through 2008, MRSA accounted for more than one third of cases overall and 44% of post-cataract cases. In the period from 1984 through 1992, not a single case of MRSA was identified at the same institution. In the EVS, which enrolled patients between 1990 and 1994, MRSA accounted for 1.9% of *S. aureus* isolates. Interestingly, in contrast to the present study from a United States center, a more recent study from Europe did not find any MRSA isolates from 13 *S. aureus* endophthalmitis isolates recovered between 1995 and 1999. This is interesting in light of the frequent preoperative topical antibiotic use in both geographic regions. However, these observations are in keeping with the striking difference in the overall epidemiologic features and multiresistant gram-positive cocci between the United States and Europe. The increasing frequency of MRSA endophthalmitis cases mirrors the increasing incidence and changing epidemiologic factors of MRSA infections in general.

A significant proportion of *S. aureus* cases not only were resistant to methicillin, but those resistant isolates also were resistant to the commonly used fourth-generation fluoroquinolones (gatifloxacin [Zymar; Allergan Inc., Irvine, California, USA] and moxifloxacin [Vigamox; Alcon Labs, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas, USA]). All isolates were still sensitive to vancomycin, the intravitreal antibiotic of choice to treat gram-positive bacterial infections. In 2007, the first MSSA endophthalmitis isolate that exhibited resistance to the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones was identified (Figure 3).

Risk factors for the acquisition of MRSA include advanced age, recent hospitalization, and antibiotic use, but they were not present in most cases in this retrospective series. In the current study, there was no significant difference in age between the patients in the MRSA and MSSA groups. This may be because the current study population is younger than the age of 75 to 80 years that frequently is cited as an independent predictor of MRSA colonization. Second, most endophthalmitis cases were not strictly nosocomial because they followed cataract surgery, which is an outpatient procedure not requiring hospitalization. Last, it was difficult to determine whether patients had used fluoroquinolones or cephalosporins in the previous year, or if they had been hospitalized or institutionalized before treatment. Invasive MRSA, however, still may occur in patients with no established health care risk factors and may be associated with both a community and health care origin.

Only 2 of 5 endogenous endophthalmitis cases were the result of MRSA. It was not surprising to find that the vitreous isolate of the patient who had received a ganciclovir implant grew MRSA, because immunosuppression or AIDS is a recognized risk factor for MRSA acquisition. All bleb-associated endophthalmitis cases were caused by MSSA.

In this retrospective case series, there was no statistically significant difference between MRSA and MSSA patients in presenting and final visual acuity 3 months after
treatment, although a trend for worse VA was present in the MRSA cases. Although increased virulence of MRSA strains has been demonstrated in bacteremia, pneumonia, and necrotizing fasciitis, the current study failed to demonstrate statistically significant differences in time from cataract surgery to diagnosis, presenting visual acuity, or visual acuity on follow-up. The only difference in this regard was the higher rate of vitrectomy in the MRSA group, possibly reflecting the overall more severe clinical presentation influencing the treating physician to choose initial pars plana vitrectomy in the MRSA group. Although not statistically significant, MSSA cases tended to show visual acuity improvement regardless of treatment used, whereas MRSA showed minimal to no improvement trends over follow-up. This approached significance at 3 months. The statistical power of this study is limited by the small sample size and is typical of a rare disease entity that requires long-term follow-up.

Several limitations have to be considered when interpreting the visual acuity results in the current study. Over the 3-month follow-up, some patients were lost to follow-up, as can be seen in Figure 2. The individual physician selected the initial treatment without use of a clearly defined protocol for treatment selection or VA testing. MRSA cases tended to present with a significant hypopyon, pain, and a visible fibrinous exudates in the anterior chamber. The visual acuity tended to be worse on presentation as well. This may well lead the clinician to earlier aggressive management such as a pars plana vitrectomy. The higher rate of vitrectomy in the MRSA group reflects the clinical decision made by individual physicians. One may speculate that if not treated that aggressively, MRSA infections might have fared more poorly. The incidence of patients receiving a pars plana vitrectomy as initial management was remarkably consistent over the study period.

In conclusion, MRSA was identified with increasing frequency and approached one half of all isolates in the current study. There were no MRSA isolates identified in the prior study concluding in 1992 at the same institution. Although all MSSA and MRSA isolates were sensitive to vancomycin, fewer than half of MRSA isolates were sensitive to the fourth-generation fluoroquinolones. Visual acuity outcomes between MRSA and MSSA eyes were similar at 3 months of follow-up.
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